

Sunday

1. Hyp: There should have been no
towers being — by Brits (as Bldg
of King)

— by US —

no S.B. of King or Japan

What if: numerous small attacks?

(Tiger + Snow)

— No North African invasion
or Sicily

— Effort to and + encourage assass. of Hitler?
Camp?

No UOS (see James Connell)
what then?

— no MP ?!

No use of Bombs

(vs. from Hitler) (no "demo")

Suppose X is enough - considering
 "conservative" (high) estimates of
 need, on vulnerability, the bordering,
 this ^{targets} airbus, etc.)

Would 5X possibly be too much?

If estimates of our vulnerability, weapons effects
penetration (bombs), etc. are "conservative,"
 every + neighboring countries will
 be greater than estimated

(e.g. first jet cover communities,
 though not calculatedly)

Would our needs not be "too much"?

Has any allied ^{see G II NATO FO} case - from us, and/or
 from R interest - be too much
US?

Even in US interest, too much?

How about: for US asc
RS

FO (see 1969)
 (Duck Hook)

(We're not talking

mini-nukes, or N-bombs: or ABM

How many would be less than 60KT? 100KT?

— What prevented attack in Jan 2006 — ?

0) Opposition of JCS

Typ 1) Leads to Numb + Hesitant
in 2006

2) Short of registration + Leads of
NIE in 2007

(+ the substance of NIE.

See complaints of GWA + China.

However, possibility of good leads
about our efforts + dangers!

(from JCS, USAF, Navy
RAND...! ...)

Nature (Rotten, ...)

Other NWS

Wickens

Denial NSSM-3

Congress investigation

(Denial in 2013

Walter Jones...

MM — like the MX, which used to
inhabit the same ^{unusable} ~~below~~ silos.

the MM is a FS-only weapon

Must ~~be~~ ^{with} convert to a ~~Missile~~ Russian
FS — unless it COW.

Its survival — ~~as its~~ ^{as its} ~~survival~~,
the ~~survival~~ ~~effort~~ — depends on
being launched before R warheads arrive.
It

before it is launched.

Thus, its role is to respond ^{or threaten to respond} ~~unilaterally~~
to unjust warning →

(Formerly, esp. of FS of a major
conflict w. BSC)

12-20-12

Kyoto was spoiled (from devastation
of fire-bombing) by the existence of the
A-Bomb!

also, Nagata? (Ever struck
in last days?)

(What was the 3rd - & 4th target?)

Excluded targets of us! us! us!
(Rabbits?)

How do Falk or K ^{total} represent unilateral disarmament?
(Colby! Nitze!

Why do either US or R need more the
China?

2nd. if they go down together!

Why does Israel - or Pak - need 100+?

In worst crisis would it be beneficial
for US to fire 450 [340?] MCM?

[If 200 A-bombs between Israel & Pak
blow big hole in again...

Need for EIS - Environmental Impact Study
of NAS - access to plans
tell effects, not targets!

1958 - "biggest scientific experiment"

By SIOB.

First MX - me ? question

A.E. Marshall thinks (if not bluff!)

How much is [^]too much?

Stimson's worry that public

don't object to gambling

= America, rather worrying about German public

and Paine

Burr - York memo (Colbasso?)

Father (van Hissel)

2-26-12

What should you do if someone has
triggered the D.M.?

Trigger yours?

Will a ~~to be better than a~~ ~~to be~~

striking first (promptly, or preemptively)

be better than striking 2d?

(If with more than 50-100 wasps)

No. Warrior.



Assume 5 cities is intent?

if you think

OK, not much difference -

assurance of

if you think more deterrence is needed,

destroying 1-10

or will deter someone who is willing

cities is around

to launch ~~to~~ on D.M.

not will influence

someone will

Not just mass murder: of friends, slave actions

to trigger action

on allies & neutrals:

done.

but mass suicide - of ourselves

(over 1 year, or ...)

How long in

set?

Of course, if "you" are one of those who
can disbelieve that the earth is more than

5000 years old, or is man-made global

warming, or evolution, you can disbelieve the

just as well. It's just science, of the same

caliber. Let's hope that no one like this is any

Delegation

the leaders or men who know some the things on our buttons.

W46 CAG JUDRER?

Recognize minority / ^{How?} Scale, return
omitted
concealed ^{premises} ^{obstacles to change}
mistaken ^{premises} about other

MM

Bring together:

Read each other
conferences

• Roback

• Toss?

• Stan

(Jones?)

• Omen?

• Sagan*

• Lynn Edna*

• Schell*

• Engelhardt*

• Weiner? (see book)

Clarke

• Schell

• (McKibben?)

• Chris Hamilton

• CIPINCIONE*

• Kristensen*

• Kniball*

• Norris

• BLAIR*

• Dora Priest

• GG

• Daly

• BETTER Holden

• von Hippel

• Fritz Klein ^{quarant}

(P. Min to M. Light)

(Conant. → Harkness)

little news in cities

use plants

chem plants

} should?
} prohibited?

Kashon? — W. J. G. J.

RAND?

ACA

Schultz

Perry

ROD

CIA

INR

DIA

Chavez - Tanks

TALKS

SEMINARY

CONFERENCE

YOU - TALK

PSR/SANB

COR

FAS

ACA

CDI

2-25-12

Nuclear action?

done?

~~Not~~ Over the years: its enough.

Is the service now unavailable?

Excerpt of Nuclear Family Designs?

(I'll describe within
a summer.

(in spring? in fall?)

1 B are devoted to India-Pakistan

(Where?)

(Should out process - of innovation,

and - for 300 TN weapons.

(York + McGE - full-time family, make

"100 weapons"...

(McGE - ignores promptness

O-L, CF, sleep, high alert

(Does SC believe in preempting? or just initiate US?

see Power: 2 US, 1 R - writing.

(Possible large war: slow-motion: 1 Echo? Rumpel?

NATO FU strategy: from Munich Berlin

(from 1954 on)

the US "umbrella" did not protect Europe!

(Tavris, maybe! Berlin House - until NK got
Bav.

One can think a D.M. clap. if intention,
in a deliberate way
see Castro to K!

(not, K!

or, Abraham)

with prob - can AQ be kept from
buying, stealing, his guns a Bank?

|| why didn't Castro think of seizing the
MARM's - as K did?!

(and kept the two arms!

(K didn't trust him with these?

(He could have captured the -

delivered the of boat!

2 plane.

unless K left 20,000 troops

(way out?)

2-25-12

We need to present a plan now — which
could be the starting point for proof in
ME & elsewhere. That will take months of
negotiations — to accept it, as is essential, without
attacking Iran.

The attack on Iran — this spring, the
fall, or later — first - starts that are real,
because it assumes an immediate decision
withdrawal from the NPT (they could ~~the~~
warn of this — along with explanation of IAEA
inspector who need present a new weapons
program — and a crack effort to Iran to
develop arms.

Whether that's successful or not, such
that would immediately lead to other withdrawals
of Arab states & others, & new programs.

^{Prerequisite}
"Prerequisite" of a plan now program
feasible by immediate attack means the
end of the NPT and the end of
non-proliferation efforts.

(Not proof, it's like responding
to the 9-11 terrorism of AQ by attacking Iraq.

Only a late warning, more dangerous.
Just as an attack in Afghanistan (& Pak)
spawned more Taliban, an "successful" attack
on Iran would spawn immediately crack new
programs in the ME. (What if there will be attacks?)
_{Spain, Iraq?}

2-12-

For each country's secret targets (+ secret)
plans -

Calculate a better line (Security, except
for result - audited by scientists (Hastings, etc.)
- blame various circumstances

gaggle diff

How much smoke + soot will be (toxic?)
lofted into the stratosphere?

Effects on ozone?

Smog?

How long?

No nation has a right to 1) refrain
from calculating this (monitored)
2) revealing the results.

[Monitoring forces (except secret) or plans
that could produce (X) effects

(hard boundaries of
target nations?)

[The SIOP-62 must should have been seen
not only as humane, "appalling," evil, barbaric -

kill all (even with knowing of real darkness - a fact?)

West Europeans - but as intolerable, unacceptable injustice -

with fallout? How could the press this on to JFK?!

(Forget "spies" deaths) How could JFK, or N '69 ("90 million" (the)

in SU + China - the first have allowed it to exist, without ordering
answers NOT JUST TO THE UN? injustice national revision? (Look again at Kagan Plan?)

2-25-12

(Fire all bad VCS + planes -
Carbine then to missile system)

[see the]

Teller-Ulam - works H-bomb "suicide" / unstopable
(in to office. Current? Future? Reliable?)

However it works H-bomb not just feasible
but small is right, + MT

Cheap

not only large is (ICBM - not the Super)
is size / weight + MT

Here, useful

[very useful - cheap in use of

U or Pu for first bang

the // (more bang for the weight than A-bomb!
H! (not just, the HE)

not only genocidal (Bum, Rabi)

not "but that no one knows it;

no fraction...

[CHECK - Super vs. Teller-Ulam]

Wg 10,000 warheads?

~~Future~~: for reliable fight out
road

D-2

(Wg ICBMs? ...)

+ Extending det

against non-nuclear attack

(Type II)

to countries which "threatened"

& allies of SU

(i.e. threats to support rebellion

against US support to as support)

Animal I saw had as
its target (not a person
but...)
- The system of the
world capable of
producing and
using the atomic
bomb.

(not, hist; of FDR;
or cap.? (not, revolution!))

Small

Wg + White 1940

to save the World (Hany Miller)

continue to protect the

would be lived
in (rather?
no protest?)

"Answer: the curious
reception of guilt
in the uneasy
man"

✓ GET: Felt
of the water
(What did Schull
fear, of
snake + gone
efforts? in 82?)

The Most Dangerous
Derived

(Tabacco
Christie (Ballant)

fine / snake

[wides of
regional efforts — not
global coverage?

Our operations
and leaders are
again confronting
the possibility
of something
comparable to
atmospheric pollution

again, the one
willing to live with
that ("small")
risk / possibility
[for what?]

, for fear of a.c.

foreign tests

or H + N?

(wanting was not
eliminated)

did (put) man
~~was~~ living under
the Nazi heel!

(a possible option
is June 1942 — not
in 1943-45)

NOR on invasion
of Japan!

It didn't stop
the then

and couldn't be
guaranteed to stop
no more

[Officials in 1914-18
were not less
serious, intelligent,
"reliable," than
then now
in US, R (in Pot, NK...)]

Now Winter ~

"No Cities" !

Amazing!

Even in Antarctica!

[^{Does} ~~Do~~ smoke from
road city rise into
stratosphere?

Does it matter
if they're but
simultaneously (being
continuous elevated?) or is it
cumulative?

(What if
69 Japanese cities
and all had
firearms?)

or, all kt with
A-bombs?!

What is roughly
minimum number of
cities kt with
100kt, or 340 kt
to get

4-8-12

(2) How should (right)
we understand that
no one has been
fired in anger for
67 years?

2012
1945

67

(b) and - no WWII?

(Existence of arms? (b)

No (3) armed arms on both sides
What if: 1) neither (2) US only 3) ^{Deliberate} balance

- To achieve either
effort -

did we need
any more?

did we need
as many as either,
or both, got?

(see China)

(the 500,000 troops in
VN - all change for reductions
by N without quitting.

3-22-12

ADM:

Probabilty (only one)
of Half still
Fukushima

Katrina

Challenger

C -

0-11

Long

by want on consistent
+ decision
+ beginning / threats
blackmail

Sign I + Type II now

ADOM

3-21-12

Reagan —

a nuclear war
cannot be won
[and must run
in fear]

Now: a 2-sided
nuclear war
between US and Russia
cannot be survived
survived

war will have won

struck second
every ~~boat~~ who
struck first
both dead

|| (within months, or
a year or ~~two~~
(or three)

Not "even" or
"preserved"

NO adventure to
presumption, nor if
alone is not false!

No LOW
either of ICBMs
or SLBMs

— no presumption

van Haffel
Zion Mian

(Effects of 300 TN
explosions over/within
cities

[How many now
targeted over cities
— is serious question

Where there's
fire, there's
smoke:

The upshot of
fire is calculation
is less serious
wrt misinterpretation
of fire/heat
than of eventual
winds of SMOKE.

(also ignoring fallout,
as a prompt reevaluation).